

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,349	02/23/2001	Brian Matthews	06618/590001/CIT3165	1267	
20985 75	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			JACKSON JR, JEROME		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	2815		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/200	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/933,349	MATTHEWS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutine to reply will, by statutine to reply will, by statutine to reply will apply the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  Forom the mailing date of this communication.  OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers -						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 February 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Appl crity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/04</u> .	r	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 2 line 5 "imagining" should –imaging–; the description of figure 3 in paragraph 27 does not correspond to figure 3 as the "bottom diagram" does not show 22.

Appropriate correction is required.

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the present drawings are obviously informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hu et al '036.

Hu teaches detector with "pixels" or sensor areas connected to underlying semiconductor circuitry with indium "bumps" or columns approximately 75 microns in height. See column 1 and column 2 line 22. Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/933,349

Art Unit: 2815

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hu et al.

In regard to claim 3, although Hu does not explicitly state the material of the detector, the claimed semiconductor materials are well known for detectors operating at 77 degrees, as evidenced, for example, by applicant's description of the prior art.

Accordingly, a Hu detector with Si, Ge, CdTe, etc. material would have been prima facie obvious.

In anticipation of any arguments regarding the process used to make applicant's device: patentability of a product by process claim is determined by the final product, regardless of how actually made, In re Hirao 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessman 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90; and In re Morosi 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571 272 1730. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571 272 1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER